

RECEIVED JAN 2 6 2004 TC 1700

Patent Attorney's Docket No. <u>001425-108</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)
Ge XU et al.) Group Art Unit: 1763
Application No.: 09/863,338) Examiner: S. MacArthur
Filed: May 24, 2001) Confirmation No.: 1018
For: CVD APPARATUS))
	<i>)</i>
)
	,

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner is thanked for the careful examination of the application.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 15-18, 21, 22, and 31 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,245,396. In view of this rejection, a Terminal Disclaimer for U.S. Patent No. 6,245,396 is submitted herewith.

Claims 3, 4, 11, 12 and 19 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,245,396, in view of U.S. Patent No, 4,792,378. The filing of the above-mentioned Terminal Disclaimer renders this rejection moot.